## Approved For Release 2003/01/28 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002600080 033-1

6	Morr	7 61	

**ILLEGIB** 

MEMORANDAM FOR:	Chief, Procurement Division, OL	
THROUGH:	Chief, Logistics Branch, AS/NPIC	
SUBJECT:	Justification for Selecting a Contractor Moo Wall Not the Lowest Bidder for MPIC Project, "Multi-Exteor Imagery Analysis, Phase II"	
price cost propo	ompanies were invited to submit technical and fixed- osals to perform this project. Four proposals were aluated. The proposed estimates were as follows:	
		25)
atanapoint of te	velopment Staff evaluated the four proposals from the schnical approach, and it was agreed that the superior to the other three.	25)
equentially the	same general approach to the problem: that is, a section of the photographic imagery by	25)
two or three int types of imagery the project unde outcome of a sub	terpreters. This procedure, which is sound for some y studies, is not considered sufficient or valid for er consideration. Conclusions which would be the discretive evaluation by a small number of interpreters	20,
would leave doub analysis, even to there are major	ot about the reliability and completeness of the though the interpreters were well qualified. Since differences in experience and capability within any	
group of interpr variables be sta	reters, it is considered essential that there itistically eliminated, or at least greatly reduced.	
	differences are accounted for can reliable and usions be reached.	

bas based their technical approach on statistical validation of interpreter responses during the imagery analysis. This approach, however, requires redundant interpretation and the use

Declass Review by NIMA/DOD

25X1

## Approved For Release 2003/01/28: CIA-RDP78B04770A002600080033-1

SUBJECT: Justification for Selecting a Contractor Who Was Not Wie Lowest Bidder for HPIC Project, "Hulti-Sensor Imagery Analysis, Phase II"

of many more interpreters (during the image analysis) than is required by the other approaches. This accounts for the higher cost of their proposal.

5. The overall objective of this entire project is to evaluate
the operational intelligence yield ofsystems used in a
very real, cold-war situation. The answers and conclusions derived
from this study are considered extremely important for they may
determine, among other things, whether or not additional over-flights
to acquire magery are justifiable in terms of yield vs.
risk. Consequently, it is vital that we have the most reliable
conclusions available. Answers must be objective and must be
indubitable in terms of the methods used in deriving these answers.
Even though it is more costly in the short run, the recommended study
would provide much more valuable information on which to bees future
operational decisions. Therefore, it is strongly recussed that we
buy the approach proposed by
•

Assistant for Plans and Development

25X1

25X1